

Tetrahedron Vol. 50, No. 32, pp. 9781-9788, 1994 **Blsevier Science Ltd Printed in Great Britain 0040-4020/94 \$7.00+0.00**

0040-4020(94)00563-X

Epimerization of Tilivalline

Takatoshi Matsumoto,a,h Nobuyuki Matsunaga,a Ayako Kanai,a Toyohiko Aoyama, ³ Takayuki Shioiri, *, ² and Eiji Osawa^b

a Department of Synthetic Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Nagoya City **University,** *Tanabe-dori, Mizuho-ku, Nagoya 467, Japan*

^b Department of Knowledge-based Information Engineering, Toyohashi University *of Technology, I-l Hibarigaokn. Tempakucho, Toyohashi 441, Japan*

Abstract : Epimerization of tilivalline (1) at the C₁₁-position occurred by treatment with zinc chloride to give a mixture of 1 and its 11-epimer (epi-1) in a ratio of 83 : 17. The **computational chemical analysis of the thermodynamic stability of 1 and epi-1 through MM3(92) also revealed the energetic preference of 1 and the calculated equilibrium ratio of 1 and epi-1 was consistent with the experimental results. The torsional and bending angle parameters around the amide bond were determined.**

We have already accomplished a completely stereoselective synthesis of tilivalline, a pyrrolo^{[2,1-} **c][l,4]benzodiazepine derivative isolated from** *Klebsiellu pneumoniae var. oxytoca!* **utilizing a new Mannich type cyclization.2 The high stereoselectivity due to the effect of zinc chloride as a catalyst has been discussed in the preceding paper by use of the semi-empirical molecular orbital method.3 We now wish to report the epimerization of tilivalline and its computational chemical analysis.**

When tilivalline (1) was treated successively with chlorotrimethylsilane - sodium iodide followed **by zinc chloride, i.e. the reaction conditions of the Mannich type cyclization without the addition of** indole.² the products were found to be a mixture of tilivalline **(1)** and its 11-epimer **(epi-1)** in a ratio of **94** : **6. Further investigation revealed that treatment of 1 with zinc chloride in warm acetonitrile gave a mixture of 1 and epi-1 in a ratio of 83** : **17. Heating of 1 in acetonitrile without zinc chloride did not cause any epimerization at all, as summarized in Table 1. The isomerization is likely to occur via the intermediate 2.**

Next we estimated the stability of tilivalline (1) **and its epimer (epi-1) by calculation using MM3(92).4 We first evaluated and compared the calculation methods with the experimental data utilizing cyclopropane as a model since the thermodynamic properties of cyclopropane have been well** investigated. Performance of various force fields for cyclopropane is shown in Table 2, which revealed that MM3(92) would be the most reliable of the calculation methods, as expected.

Run	Method A	Reaction Conditions	Ratio of $1:epi-1$	
		(i) TMSCI, NaI pyridine, MeCN	-15° C, 30 min	94:6
		(ii) ZnCl,	-15° C, 30 min 52° C. 6 h	
2	в	ZnCl, MeCN	50~55°C, 24 h	83:17
3	C	MeCN	$50 - 55$ °C, 24 h	100:0

Table 2. Perfomance of Various Force Fields for Cyclopropane

a) Ref. 5

However, three parameters were found to be missing when using MM3(92). **These were** two torsional parameters O-Csp²-Csp²-Nsp³ -(1) and Csp²-Nsp³-Csp³-Csp² -(2), and a bending angle parameter Csp²-Ccarbonyl-Namide -(3). The torsional parameter (1) has been already reported⁶ to be $V_1 = -2.74$, $V_2 = 43.01$, and $V_3 = 2.60$, which we employed. MM3(92) and MOPAC Ver. 6.0 - AM1 for MO were used to determine the parameters (2) and (3). The parameter (2) was determined to be V_1 $= -2.375$, $V_2 = 0.045$, and $V_3 = -1.731$ by the aid of the non-linear least squares method so that the relative energies of allylyinylamine 36.7 with MM3(92) could reproduce the relative energies with AMI. Since the bending angle of N-benzoylmorpholine 4 with AM1 could not reproduce the bending angle from X-ray analysis, this parameter (3) was determined to be $K_b = 1.000$, Tzero = 118.000 so that the bending angle of 4 with MM3(92) could reproduce the bending angle of X-ray analysis.8

The computational chemical analysis utilizing the above parameters revealed that the mean free energy of tilivalline **(1)** was 241.868 kcal/mol while that of **epi-1** was 242.796 kcal/mol. The difference was -0.928 kcal/mol and hence the equilibrium ratio of 1 and epi-1 was calculated to be 82.7 : 17.3, which was consistent with the experimental value (see run 2 in Table 1). Thus tilivalline **(1) proved to be thermodynamically more stable than epi-1** and to have the preferred configuration. In terms of conformations, Bl-BS in tilivalline **(1)** occupied about 90% of all possible conformations, and especially B1 occupied about 50% independently. The conformations of A1~A3 in epi-1 occupied about 90% of all possible conformations, as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. $\Delta G_{298.16}$, $\Delta \Delta G_{298.16}$ [kcal/mol], and Distribution [%] of 1 and epi-1

Conformer of 1			ΔG $\Delta \Delta G$ Distribution [*]	Conformer of epi-1 ΔG			$\triangle \triangle G$ Distribution [*]
B ₁	241.848 0.000		51.840	A1	242.780	0.000	39.928
B2	242.416 0.568		19.874	A2	243.053	0.273	25.186
B3	242.946 1.098		8.124	A ₃	243.057	0.277	25.016
B4	242.948 1.100		8.097	A ₄	243.915	1.135	5.878
B5	242.952 1.104		8.042	A5	244.360	1.580	2.774
B 6	243.527	1.679	3.047				

a) Boltzmann distribution at 298.16 K

The Optimized Structures of Tilivalline (1)

The Optimized Structures of Epi - Tilivalline (epi-1)

Further we performed the energy calculation on 1 and epi-1 by use of AM19 and PM310 for comparison. Tilivalline (1) was again revealed to be more stable than epi-1 in terms of the heat of formation, as shown in Table 4. According to the optimized structures, the shape of 1 was approximately spherical, while that of epi-1 was warped to the β side.

	$H_{f(1)} - H_{f(epi-1)}$	$1:epi-1$
AM1	-1.601	93.7:6.3
PM3	-0.317	63.1 : 36.9

Table 4. Δ Hf [kcal/mol] and Isomerization of Tilivalline

Finally, the intramolecular hydrogen bonds were investigated to calculate the energy of the hydrogen bonds in **1** and **epi-1. 'he data in** Table 5 revealed that most conformers had the intramolecular hydrogen bond between the phenolic oxygen and anihnic hydrogen but not between the phenolic hydrogen and anilinic nitrogen. This intramolecular hydrogen bond would be importaut factor influencing the thermodynamic stability of 1 and epi-1.

In conclusion, it is clear that tilivalline **(1) is more** stable than **epi-1 in terms of the free energy.** Furthermore, equilibrium ratio of **1** and **epi-1 in** experiment proved to be consistent with that with MM3(92) and the isomerixation arrived at the equilibrium point.

Acknowledgemeht: We thank Professor Chikara Kaneko of Tohoku University for his pointing out the possible epimerixation of tilivalline.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Input coordinates were built with the Chem3D Plus Ver. 2.0.1 and Ver. 3.1 from CSC on a Macintosh SE and LC520 personal computers. The non-linear least square's analysis was performed with the Solver implemented in the MicroSoft Excel Ver. 4.0 on a personal computer. All calculations

with MOPAC Ver. 6.0 were carried out on a HP Apollo DN10000 and a HP 9000/750 workstations.

Epimerization of Tilivalline

(1) With zinc chloride : **A mixture** of tilivalline **(1) (67** mg, **0.2** mmol) and zinc chloride (110 mg, **0.8** mmol) (dried for **2** h at 150 'C in vacua before use) in acetonitrile (3 ml) was stirred at 50-55 "C for 24 h under argon. After ice-cooling, sat. aq. sodium bicarbonate (10 ml) and then water (50 ml) were added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (150 ml). The extracts were washed with sat. aq. sodium chloride (50 ml) and dried over sodium sulfate. After concentration, a mixture of tilivalhne **(1)** and ll-epi-tilivalline **(epi-1) was** obtained as a yellow brown amorphous solid, a part of which was subjected to HPLC as shown below for the determination of the ratio of **Vepi-1. A pure** sample of epi-1 was obtained by silica gel column chromatography (BW 200, hexane/ethyl acetate = $1/3$); mp 256~258 °C, (hot plate method) (lit.,¹⁾ mp 252 °C) ¹H-NMR (DMSO-d₆/CDCl3) δ ; 0.80-0.96 (m, 1H), 1.23-1.39 (m, 1H), 1.90-2.13 (m, 2H), 3.18-3.23 (m, 1H), 3.58-3.68 (m, 1H), 4.23~4.39 (m, 1H), 5.19 (d, 1H, J=2.7Hz), 6.64~7.67 (m, 8H), 9.03 (brs. 1H, disappeared with D₂O), 9.76 (brs, 1H).

HPLC analysis of the mixture of **1** and **epi-1 :** A mixture **(0.33** mg) of **1** and **epi-1** was dissolved in methanol (0.2 ml and 0.2 μ of the solution was subjected to HPLC using DEVELOSIL 60-5 (i.d. 4.6 \times 150 mm, purchased from Chemco Co., Ltd.) (flow rate : 1.0 ml/min ; eluate, hexane/isopropanol = $3/1$; detection, UV 281 nm). The mixture showed two peaks (R.T. = 4.01 min **(epi-1)** and 10.59 min **(1)) in** a ratio of **l/epi-1 = 83117.**

(2) **With chlorotrimethylsilane, sodium iodide, pyridine and zinc chloride (under a new Mannich type cyclization conditions)** $\frac{1}{2}$ Chlorotrimethylsilane (61 μ , 0.48 mmol) was added dropwise to a suspension of tilivalline **(1) (40** mg, **0.48 mmol),** sodium iodide (72 mg, 0.48 mmd), and pyridine (48 μ , 0.6 mmol) in acetonitrile (1.2 ml) at -15 °C under argon. After the mixture was stirred at -15 °C for 30 min, zinc chloride (66 mg, 0.48 mmol) was added and the whole was stirred at -15 °C for 30 min and then at 52°C for 6 h. Saturated aq. sodium bicarbonate (1.2 ml), then AcOEt (25 ml) were added to the mixture, and insoluble materials were filtered off. The filtrate was separated and the organic layer was washed with sat. aq. NaCl then dried over sodium sulfate. After concentration in vacuo, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (BW 200, hexane/AcOEt = $1/3$) to give tilivalline **(1)** (29 mg, 73 %) and 11-epi-tilivalline **(epi-1)** (2 mg, 5 %) **(1/epi-1** = 94/6).

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. Mohr, N.; Budzikiewicz, H. Tetrahedron, 1982, 38, 147.
- 2. (a) Mori, S.; Aoyama, T.; Shioiri, T. Tetrahedron Lett., 1986, 27, 6111. (b) Mori, S.; Ohno, T.; Harada, H.; Aoyama, T.; Shioiri, T. *Terruhedron,* **1991,47,5051.** (c) Matsunaga, N.; Harada, H.; Aoyama, T.; Shiohi, T. *Heterocycles,* **1992,33,235.**
- 3. Matsumoto, T.; Aoyama, T.; Shioiri, T.; Osawa. E. preceding paper.
- *4.* (a) Burkert, U.; Allinger, N. L. *Moleculur Mechanics* ; American chemical Society, Washington, D.C. 1982. (b) Alhnger, N. L.; Lii, J.-H. J. *Comput. Chcm., 198'1, 8, 1146. (c) Allingeq N. L;* Geise, H. J.; Pyckhout, W.; Paquette, L. A.; Galhrcci, J.C. J. *Am. Chem. Sot., 1989, Ill,* 1106. (d) Allinger, N. L.;Lii, J.-H. J. *Am. Chem. Sot., 1989, 111.8551.* (e) Alhnger, N. L.; Lii, J.-H. J. *Am. Chem. Sot., 1989,111,8556. (0 Allinger, N.* L.; Lii, J.-H. J. *Am. Chem. Sot.. 1989, ill, 8576.*
- *5.* (a)Pimentel, G. C.; Spratley, R. D. *Understanding Chemical Thermodynamics* ; Holden-Day, Inc. 1969. (b) Smith, N. 0. *Elementary Statistical Thermodynamics* - *A Problem Approch* ; Plemm Publ. Co. 1982. (c) Smith, E. B. *Basic Chemical Thermo- dynamics* ; Oxford University Press 1990.
- *6.* Hopfinger, J. k, Pearlstein, R. A. J. *Comput. Chem.,* 1984,5,486.
- 7. (a) Schnur, D. M.; Grieshaber, M. V; Bowen, J. P. J. Comput. Chem., 1991, 12, 844. (b) Alemán C.; Canela, E. I.; Franco, R.; Orozco, M. J. Comput. Chem., 1991, 12, 664.
- 8. Arte, E; Fneau-Dupont, J.; Declercq, J. P.; Germain, G.; Van Meerssche, M. Cryst. Struct. Commun., 1977,6.767.
- *9.* Dewar, M. J. S; Zoebisch, E.G.; Heaiy, E.F.; Stewart, J. J. P. J. *Am. Chem. Sot.. 1985, 107, 3902.*
- 10. *Stewart.* J. J. P. *J. Comput. Chem.,* 1989,10,209 and 221.

(Received in UK 9 May 1994, *revised 22 June* 1994; *accepted 24 June 1994)*